

**REMARKS**

Applicant wishes to thank Examiner Nguyen for the courtesy of a telephone conference on the procedures with regards to a Rule 116 amendment.

The Office Action indicated the subject matter of claim 63 would be allowed if rewritten in independent form. The Office Action acknowledged that the cited references did not teach the contrast ratio.

The Office Action contended that independent claim 58 was obvious over a combination of two references. Applicant has accordingly amended claim 58 to incorporate the indicated allowed subject matter of the contrast ratio in compliance with 35 USC §112. In view of the canceling of the other claims, it is believed the conditions of 37 CFR §1.116 have been met and now only allowable subject matter is set forth in the present application.

If the Examiner believes a telephone interview would help further the prosecution of this case, he is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned attorney at the listed telephone number.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on December 17, 2003.

By: Debbie Josephson

*Debbie Josephson*  
Signature

Dated: December 17, 2003

Very truly yours,

**SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.**

*Joseph W. Price*  
Joseph W. Price  
Registration No. 25,124  
1920 Main Street, Suite 1200  
Irvine, California 92614-7230  
Telephone: (949) 253-4920